

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,708	01/13/2006	Christine E Krohn	2003UR020	1580
7590 10/25/2007 J. Paul Plummer			EXAMINER	
Exxon Mobil Upsteam Research Company P.O.Box 2189 Houston, TX 77252-2189			HUGHES, SCOTT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TA 11232-2107			3663	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/564,708	KROHN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott A. Hughes	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 August 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
*						
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that a PCT Written Opinion was issued on the claims, and that claims 1-19 and 21 were listed as novel in that Written Opinion. Applicant states that they believe that the last Office Action was written without the benefit of the PCT prosecution. Applicant requests reconsideration and reissue of the first office action on this basis. This argument is not persuasive because Applicant does not give arguments against the 35 USC 103 rejections made in the last office action. Upon further review of applicant's claims in the US application, it was determined that the claims were not obvious over the prior art. Therefore, a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) was made. Applicant did not respond to these rejections in the arguments submitted 8/10/2007. Applicant's request for reconsideration and reissue of the first office action is not persuasive because the first office action was issued with consideration of the prior art cited in the PCT application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3663

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeffryes (WO0161379) in view of Anderson (5410517).

With regard to claim 1, Jeffryes discloses a method of operating a plurality of N seismic vibrators simultaneously with continuous sweeps, and separating the seismic response for each vibrator (abstract). Jeffryes discloses loading each vibrator with a unique continuous sweep consisting of M (greater than or equal to) N segments, the ith segment being of the same duration for each vibrator (Page 5, Line 4 to Page 6, Line20, Pages 7-8,10). Jeffryes discloses activating all vibrators and using at least one detector to detect and record the combined seismic response signals from all vibrators (Page 10). Jeffryes discloses selecting and recording a signature for each vibrator indicative of the motion of that vibrator (Page 10, Line 8 to Page 11). Jeffryes discloses parsing the vibrator motion record for each vibrator into M shorter recorders, each shorter recording coinciding in time with a sweep segment (Page 11, Lines 1-20). Jeffryes discloses padding response signals but does not disclose padding the shorter records of the vibrator motion record to substantially extend its duration by one listening time (Pages 18-19). Anderson teaches padding seismic signals by one listening time when using a continuous sweep consisting of M segments. (Column 4, Lines 20 to Column 5, Line 20; Column 6, Lines 10 to 60; Column 8; Columns 12-14). It would have been obvious to modify Jeffryes to pad the signals with time up to the listening time as taught by Anderson in order to be able to process the data with a correlation reference sequence. Jeffryes discloses forming an M by N matrix whose element Sij(t) is the vibrator motion record as a function of time of the ith vibrator and jth sweep segment

Application/Control Number: 10/564,708

Art Unit: 3663

(Pages 5-7; 10-11, 14-16, 20-22). Jeffryes discloses parsing the seismic data record from above into M short records, each shorter record coinciding in time with a padded shorter record of vibrator motion from step d). Jeffryes discloses forming a vector d of length M whose element di is the ith shorter data recorder from the preceding step. Jeffryes discloses solving for Ej(f) the system of M linear equation in N unknown SE=D. Jeffryes discloses inverse Fourier transforming Ej(f) to yield ej(t) (Pages 10-11, 14-16, 19-20).

With regard to claim 2, Jeffryes discloses that each sweep segment is selected from linear sweep-design (Page 10, Lines 5-15).

With regard to claim 3, Jeffryes discloses that all of the N unique continuous sweeps are identical except for the phase of their segments (Page 10, Lines 15-25).

With regard to claim 4, Jeffryes discloses that all N segments are identical except for phase. Jeffryes discloses constructing a reference sweep by starting with a preselected reference segment, then advancing the segment 360/M degrees in phase to make the second segment, then advancing the phase 360/M degrees more to make the third segment, and so on to generate M segments. Jeffryes discloses constructing a first sweep by advancing the phase of the first segment of the reference sweep by 90 degrees. Jeffryes discloses constructing a second sweep by advancing the phase of the second segment of the reference sweep by 90 degrees and so on until all N sweeps are constructed (Page 7).

With regard to claim 4, Anderson teaches that all N segments are identical except for phase. Anderson teaches constructing a reference sweep by starting with a

Application/Control Number: 10/564,708

Art Unit: 3663

preselected reference segment, then advancing the segment 360/M degrees in phase to make the second segment, then advancing the phase 360/M degrees more to make the third segment, and so on to generate M segments. Anderson teaches constructing a first sweep by advancing the phase of the first segment of the reference sweep by 90 degrees. Anderson teaches constructing a second sweep by advancing the phase of the second segment of the reference sweep by 90 degrees and so on until all N sweeps are constructed (abstract; Columns 4, 6).

With regard to claim 5, Anderson teaches that each unique continuous sweep has a duration in time sufficiently long to collect all seismic data desired before relocating the vibrators (Columns 4, 6).

With regard to claim 6, Jeffryes discloses that the vibrator signature record for each vibrator is a weighted sum or ground force record of the motion of that vibrator (Page 4, Lines 5-14; Pages 10-12).

With regard to claim 7, Jeffryes discloses that M=N and that the system of linear equation SE=D is solved by matrix methods comprising the steps of deriving a separation and inversion filter by inverting matrix S then performing the matrix multiplication (Page 8, Lines 1-5; Pages 11-19).

With regard to claim 8, Jeffryes discloses that SE=D is solved by matrix methods and the method of least squares comprising the steps of deriving a separation and inversion filter of the form F=(S*S)-1S* then performing the matrix multiplication FD (Page 8, Lines 1-5; Pages 11-19).

With regard to claim 9, Jeffryes discloses that each segment has a duration that is at least as long as the seismic wave travel time down to and back up from the deepest reflector of interest (Page 1).

Conclusion

The cited prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am to 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

~~(. *[[]*

NIDERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER